



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/803,374	03/10/2001	Marwan A. Jaber	1213	7373
759	90 07/09/2003		_	
ALLAN JACOBSON			EXAMINER	
ATTORNEY AT LAW 13310 Summit Square Center Route 413 & Doublewoods Road Langhorne, PA 19047			MAI, TAN V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Langhome, FA	17047		2124	
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/803, 374 Applicant(s)		
Onice Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit		
	Man, 1- 1224		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—		
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE		
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default.	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. t, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. tute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	7-01		
☐ This action is FINAL.	•		
•	t for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
•			
M Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5 Okt. 11(0)	is/are allowed		
Da Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-9 and 12-	is/are rejected.		
©Claim(s) 4, 6, 10-11	iologo chicatad to		
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election		
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Application Papers	, <del> </del>		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	g Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved □ disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of ☐ received.	the priority documents have been		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	the priority documents have been		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Certification from the Interiority under the Interiority unde	er) mational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Certified copies not received:  *Certified copies not received:	er) mational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interaction Copies not received:  *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	the priority documents have been er) emational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interaction Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	the priority documents have been er) emational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interaction Copies not received:  *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	the priority documents have been  er)  mational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).  p(s) □ Interview Summary, PTO-413  □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/803,374

Art Unit: 2124

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 1.

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-9 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Aiken et al (A PARALLEL PIPELINED DSP PROCESSOR CORE).

Aiken et al disclose, e.g., see Fig. 2, the invention substantially as claimed, including:

parallel processing cells (PPC) and a processing and communicating tree (PCT). It is noted that

Aiken et al do NOT disclose the claimed "combination phase computing element"; however, the

"processing and communicating tree" (PCT) could provide the equivalent functions as claimed.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to design the invention as claimed according to Aiken et al's teachings because the

reference discloses a parallel pipelined DSP processor for performing FFT.

2. Claims 4, 6 and 10-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 3.

should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-9761. The examiner can

normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/803,374

Page 3

Art Unit: 2124

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

After-final

(703) 746-7238

Official

(703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

> TAN V. MAI PRIMARY EXAMINER